Generation of [2×2] Grid Metallosupramolecular Architectures from Preformed Ditopic Bis(acylhydrazone) Ligands and through Component Self-Assembly
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Ditopic bis(acylhydrazone) ligands, derived from the reactions of carbohydrazides with 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarb aldehyde and designed for grid formation with octahedrally coordinating transition-metal ions, exhibit a varied coordination chemistry depending upon the degree of their deprotonation. The neutral acylhydrazones are relatively poor ligands and are seemingly involved in multiple, labile complexation equilibria varying with the solvent and the particular metal salt in solution; nevertheless, grid complexes of different forms can be isolated in the solid state. Although only limited study has been made of the singly deprotonated ligands, grid species appear to be much more readily isolated. With the doubly deprotonated ligands, grid formation is strongly favoured in both the solution and solid states. As a consequence, the grid structures form spontaneously in the presence of a base by self-assembly from their components without need to preform the ditopic ligands. Extensive structural studies have been carried out both to establish the grid structures and to analyse the nature of the supramolecular interactions possible for neutral and charged grid species. (© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2007)

Introduction

Metallosupramolecular chemistry has developed a wide range of fascinating multicomponent coordination architectures generated by self-assembly from suitably designed ligands and specific metal ions.[1–12] The structure of the ligand bears the molecular information, as a message in molecules, that is processed through the coordination algorithm of the metal ion. Grid-type metallosupramolecular entities are of special interest, as they represent regularly positioned arrays of metal ions that may display novel optical, electronic and magnetic properties.[8,12,13] In particular, [2×2] tetranuclear grids have been extensively studied by our group.[8] We describe here developments towards classes of [2×2] grids presenting novel structural and functional characteristics.

Design Features

The “parent” [2×2] grid architectures incorporate ditopic ligands based on pyridine (py) and pyrimidine (pym) groups in a py-py-pym-py-py sequence amounting to two tridentate terpyridine-type coordination centres (structure L).[8] Replacement of a py group by an isosteric hydrazone unit presents considerable synthetic advantages as well as other novel properties. It may be achieved by condensation of a central pyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde with either a pyridine-2-hydrazine or a hydrazide (Figure 1, routes leading to products A and B, respectively), thus generating ditopic ligands incorporating two hydrazone functions as central groups of two tridentate metal coordination centres. Ligands containing two unsubstituted hydrazone units (Figure 1) possess two ionisable sites[12,14–19] that may serve to modulate (i) the coordination properties of the ligands, (ii)
the optical electronic and magnetic properties of the grid complexes, as well as (iii) the communication between external effectors and the metal centres.

In addition, the py-hydrazone ligands (A in Figure 1) may be fitted with side chains that will extend along the axis perpendicular to the mean plane of the four metal cations in the grid,[20] whereas for the grids formed from acylhydrazone ligands (B in Figure 1), the R side chains extend laterally. Thus, grid formation from these two types of ligands results in multiple “axial” and “lateral” functionalisation. Furthermore, the acylhydrazone groups may also bear axial side chains, resulting in grids presenting a total of sixteen substituents that can be of two different types.

Finally, the hydrazone-based ligands need not to be preformed, as they may form directly from their components and the metal ions in a single overall process in the course of the self-organisation of the grid architecture (Figure 2). Component self-assembly has a long history in coordination chemistry involving carbonyl condensation reactions.[21] Multicomponent/multinuclear self-assembly has been shown to take place in the spontaneous build-up of another type of [2×2] grid[22] and has been further exploited recently.[11]

Grid-type complexes formed from hydrazone-containing ligands display several features of great interest: (i) easy synthetic access from preformed ligands or by component

Figure 2. Formation and ionisation of a bis(acylhydrazone) derived from a pyrimidinedicarbaldehyde, with an idealised representation of the laterally functionalised grid, which may be formed by complexation either from the preformed ligand or by reaction with its constituents. Only the bis(tridentate) form of the ligand is shown. The seven particular acylhydrazones studied in the present work are listed (Y = phenyl in all cases).
self-assembly; (ii) multiple functionalisation; (iii) response to external effectors. We have undertaken studies towards the exploration and the exploitation of these different aspects of the potentialities offered by such grid complexes of the \([2 \times 2]\) type as well as of other types.

The readily ionised ligands of type B (Figure 2) provide functionalised grid complexes, giving rise to quartets of "lateral" functional groups. Crystal structure determinations on a range of these complexes with M II transition-metal ions give indications of a variety of labile interactions possible in grid systems, which might be exploited in supramolecular chemistry.

Results and Discussion

1. Bis(acylhydrazone) Ligand Synthesis and Characterisation

The neutral, protonated forms of the bis(acylhydrazone) ligands presently described have all been obtained by double-condensation reactions of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde with carbohydrazides (designated henceforth as acylhydrazines). It is possible to conduct these reactions with a much greater variety of acylhydrazines, work which will be the subject of other publications,[23] and, of course, to vary the nature of the dicarbaldehyde. Characterisation of the reactions between the phenylpyrimidine dicarbaldehyde and acylhydrazines in homogeneous solution is complicated by the low solubility of the products in most solvents, as well as by changes in the form of the dicarbaldehyde in different solvents (Figure 3). The dicarbaldehyde, as obtained directly from the partially aqueous final synthetic medium,[24] is hydrated to a degree and thus present as a mixture of forms, even though it does crystallise well from diethyl ether. In aprotic solvents such as chloroform or acetonitrile, the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum shows predominantly peaks attributable to the true aldehyde only (even in the presence of low concentrations of water present in the undried solvents), whereas in methanol only an extremely weak –CHO signal of the aldehyde species can be discerned, and the bulk (99%) of the compound appears to be present as its bis(hemiacetal), giving rise to a CH resonance at \(\delta = 5.54\) ppm, a similar weak resonance at \(\delta = 5.53\) ppm along with the aldehyde peak at \(\delta = 10.09\) ppm, consistent with the presence of ca. 1% mono(hemiacetal)monoaclide. We presume that, in the absence of an acid catalyst, further reactions to give acetel species must be very slow.

Fortunately, the hemiacetals are labile, since addition of an acylhydrazone to a methanol solution of the dicarbaldehyde results in the appearance of new peaks corresponding to hydrazide-N addition products within the time required for mixing the reagents and recording the spectrum. Typically after a period of some tens of minutes at room temperature for 2:1 molar mixtures of acylhydrazine and dicarbaldehyde, the bis(acylhydrazone) derivatives of the dicarbaldehyde begin to precipitate from such solutions, indicating that elimination reactions of the addition intermediates are also relatively rapid. The insolubility of the bis(acylhydrazone) is commonly such that it is the material precipitated even from solutions in which the molar ratio of reactants is 1:1. Consistent with an inhibition of the reaction by acetal formation in methanol, product precipitation occurs much sooner (within a few minutes) in acetonitrile. In the particular case of benzoylhydrazine, the initial precipitate obtained from acetonitrile solvent appears to be amorphous and even oily but slowly (over days) transforms largely into clusters of pale yellow needles, indicating that the immediate product could be a mixture of stereoisomers.[25,26] A further indication of this is that, in the presence of some M\(^{II}\) ions (M = Mn, Zn), the precipitate, which still does not contain the metal ions, deposits more slowly and appears to be entirely crystalline. Perhaps this is because the precipitation of one form is retarded by complexation, even though complexation by both stereoisomers is well-established for simple acylhydrazones,[26,27] and (see below) at least two forms are still present in the precipitate. Up to the point of precipitation in solutions not containing...
metal ions, strong signals near $\delta = 5.7$ ppm are consistent with the fact that addition intermediates are the dominant solution species, at least two being apparent.

For the hydrazides derived from methoxy- and methoxyethoxyacetic acid, solvents can be found in which all components stay soluble up to the point of homogeneous equilibrium. Here, at concentrations of ca. $5 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$, NMR spectroscopy shows that small but detectable concentrations of species containing a tetrahedral methine centre are present even after several days at room temperature in acetonitrile, and over relatively short time periods (at least 1 h) in this solvent, the methoxyacetyl system seems simply to be involved in an addition equilibrium where a significant fraction of dicarbaldehyde is present. The addition of a metal ion such as Zn$^{II}$ to a mixture of acylhydrazine and dicarbaldehyde has multiple effects, including an initial release of dicarbaldehyde, presumably as a consequence of coordination of the acylhydrazine reactant, though ultimately there is a modest acceleration of the formation of the acylhydrazone. The situation is simpler in dimethyl sulfoxide solvent, where an addition equilibrium appears to be very rapidly established and is then very slowly displaced towards the elimination products (hydrazones) unless Zn$^{II}$ is added, in which case, for the addition of one molar equivalent, the spectrum changes over a period of minutes at ambient temperature towards that of the “free” bis(acylhydrazone) in this solvent. If Ga$^{III}$ is used as catalyst, the reaction seems to be complete within the time of mixing of the reagents. Thus, it can be deduced that there is efficient Lewis acid catalysis of acylhydrazone formation in dmos.

The solids that precipitate commonly from preparative mixtures can be dissolved in solvents such as dmos and do not show $^1$H spectral resonances near $\delta = 5.7$ ppm, nor do they appear to react with the low concentrations of water present in such solvents. In most cases, however, at least two NH resonances of different intensity are detectable, indicating that stereoisomers are present (Figure 4). For related grid-forming ligands derived from thiocarbonodihydrazide,$^{[19b]}$ it has been established by a crystallographic study that the most readily crystallised isomer is not that ultimately found in the grid complexes, intramolecular hydrogen bonding being suggested as a factor favouring the form observed. That the proton complex of the anionic ligand has coordination characteristics very different from those of its transition-metal complexes is hardly surprising and has, of course, a great number of precedents.

While isomerism of acylhydrazones is usually considered to be associated with configuration about the hydrazone C=N bond, restricted rotation about the acyl-C–N bond is also possible; furthermore, there is evidence that intramolecular hydrogen bonding can give rise to multiple species in solution.$^{[28]}$ For bis(acylhydrazones), $E/Z$ isomerisation about the hydrazone bond could give rise to at least three isomeric forms, and in several of the present hydrazones (e.g. 1H$_2$, $R = CH_3$) the $^1$H NMR spectra show multiple NH (and other) resonances consistent with the presence of at least two forms. For the ligand 6H$_2$ ($R = CH_3OCH_2$), one NH resonance is strongly dominant, but there are pairs of methyl and methylene peaks of similar intensity, indicating that this molecule is largely in the $(E,Z)$ form. This may also apply for the ligand 5H$_2$ ($R = CH_3C_6H_4$), for which the spectrum shows two sets of resonances of very different intensity, although for the closely related benzoylhydrazine derivative 4H$_2$ ($R = C_6H_5$), the $^1$H NMR spectrum in [D$_6$]-
dmso shows a single, relatively sharp NH resonance ($\delta = 12.40$ ppm), and the NOESY spectrum (Figure 5) can be interpreted in terms of a single conformation of the \((E,E)\) isomer. Thus, the correlation between the NH protons, C, and the CH=N protons, B, establishes the \((E)\) configuration of the hydrazone link, while the correlation of C with the aromatic protons, D, is consistent with the \((E)\) configuration of the amide bond. Assuming that a \textit{trans} conformation of the unsaturated-N substituents on the (aromatic)–C(hydrazone) single bond would be favoured, the configuration deduced for this molecule is that shown in Figure 5. This conclusion is supported by the NOESY spectrum of ligand 2 (Figure 6), which shows several important similarities to that of 4H$_2$. A crystal structure determination for ligand 2 (Figure 7) has established not only proton locations consistent with the spectrum, but has also shown the anticipated arrangement about the (aromatic)–C(hydrazone) single bonds. The correlation (B,C) between the methyl protons, C, and the hydrazone proton, B, is in agreement with the \((E)\) configuration of the C=N hydrazone bond and with an \textit{s-trans} conformation of the N–N bond substituents. Moreover, a correlation (B,F) between the hydrazone proton, B, and the phenyl protons, F, on C2 and C2' confirms the \textit{s-trans} conformation of the bond connecting the hyz group to the pym ring. The correlation (A,D) between the pyrimidine proton, A, on C5 and the methylene protons, D, suggests the \textit{s-cis} conformation of the amide bond, a difference from the conformation in 4H$_2$, which may be attributable to both steric and electronic differences between phenyl and ethyl substituents. The weak correlation (A,B) between the pyrimidine proton, A, on C5 and the hydrazone, B, shows that, in solution, the \textit{s-trans} conformation of the C(aromatic)–C(hydrazone) bond is partially twisted because of the steric hindrance between the two acyl arms of the ligand.

2. Complexation of the Bis(acylhydrazone) Ligands

As the first transition-metal series provides a number of labile M$^{II}$ species commonly showing octahedral coordination but with a variety of electronic properties, the most readily available members, viz. Mn$^{II}$, Fe$^{II}$, Co$^{II}$, Ni$^{II}$, Cu$^{II}$
and ZnII, were chosen for our initial investigations. MnII proved to be complicated to study, presumably because of both the relatively low stability of its complexes, as reflected by its position in the Irving-Williams series and its susceptibility to undergo multiple oxidation processes, even though mass spectra provided evidence that the expected grids were the initial products of synthesis. The present focus is therefore upon the remaining five metal ions, although CuII also provided some difficulties. In view of its diamagnetism, and hence the feasibility of recording high-resolution NMR spectra, ZnII was generally chosen as the first metal ion to be investigated, though of course it was not expected to necessarily give the most stable complexes. As anticipated and confirmed by structural and spectroscopic studies, the bis(acylhydrazone) ligands do generate 2×2 grid complexes.

(a) Complex Formation from the Preformed Bis(acylhydrazone) Ligands

A limited degree of binding of the neutral ligands to MII ions even in pure methanol or acetonitrile solvents is suggested by the fact that acylhydrazones dissolve quite readily in these solvents when, for example, the metal triflate is added, to give appreciably coloured solutions. In the case of ligand 7H2 (R = CH3OCH2CH2OCH2), which shows some solubility in CH3CN, addition of one equivalent ZnII causes significant changes in the 1H NMR spectrum, and in general the spectra of mixtures of the neutral ligands with ZnII vary somewhat with the metal ion concentration. Nevertheless, the spectra are poorly resolved and complex, showing slow, minor changes over time, indicating that several species may be present, and evaporation of the solvent generally results in reprecipitation of the free ligand. If, however, the initial reaction mixture containing ZnII (as its triflate) is immediately diluted with a poorly ligating solvent such as diethyl ether, the colour changes from pale yellow to red, presumably indicating some degree of metal complexation, and ultimately both yellow (ligand) and red crystalline materials deposit from the solution. When a base such as triethylamine is incorporated in the reaction mixture, the bis(benzoylhydrazone) it is still the free acylhydrazone which precipitates most readily from the solution. This precipitation can be inhibited by increasing the amount of Zn(CF3SO3)2 present, ultimately enabling the deposition of a red 2:1 complex. In the 1H NMR spectrum of this species in acetonitrile (in which the ligand itself is completely insoluble), the ortho protons of the central phenyl ring appear to have undergone strong shielding, which is consistent with the binding of metal ions and the resulting rotation of the phenyl group. More interesting is the observation that with tetrafluoroborate in place of triflate, a 1:1 complex precipitates, as it does in the case of NiII when perchlorate is the associated anion. Note, however, that NiII does not guarantee success in the isolation of a neutral ligand complex, as, while Ni(BF4)2 and ligand 3H2 (R = C6H13) dissolve in boiling methanol to give an orange solution, it is the ligand which precipitates on cooling, and with Ni(NO3)2 and ligand 4H2, the red complex which precipitates contains partly deprotonated ligand.

Overall, these observations indicate that there is a delicate balance of various solvent- and anion-dependent equilibria, including acid dissociation, involved in the interactions of neutral acylhydrazones with MII transition-metal ions, complications which of course are undesirable in relation to the ultimate goal of using the complexes in solution chemistry. Both of the 1:1 complexes referred to above have the form of grids in the solid state (see crystallographic discussion) but they are nonetheless quite different, the Ni species having only the (E,E) form of the ligand while the Zn species has a 1:1 mixture of (E,E) and (Z,Z) isomers.

In contrast to the behaviour in neutral media, when a base such as triethylamine is added to the reaction mixtures, rapid changes occur, and for the ZnII systems, the 1H NMR spectra indicate the presence of distinctly different species after the addition of one and two molar equivalents of the base. The effects of adding more than two equivalents are negligible, and the final spectra are in fact identical with those obtained on dissolving the structurally characterised neutral grid species (see below) obtained by precipitation from preparative mixtures of similar composition. It is thus assumed that the species present in solution are also tetranuclear grids. In the high-resolution spectra that can be obtained with the ZnII complexes, those at room temperature reflect a higher symmetry than would be expected for a grid having exactly the same structure as in the solid but that this is a reflection of site-exchange processes is indicated by the fact that at –40°C in CHCl3, the acetylhydrazide dianion complex shows aromatic resonances consistent with restricted rotation of the central (pyrimidine) phenyl group, giving rise to a distinction between “inner” and “outer” ortho and meta proton sites.

(b) Complex Formation by Component Self-Assembly

In the absence of any added base in moderately polar and ligating solvents such as methanol or acetonitrile, the reaction between the phenylpyrimidinedicarbaldehyde and acylhydrazines in the presence of one molar equivalent of various MII ions as their triflates leads commonly, as noted above, to the precipitation of the metal-free acylhydrazone. Nonetheless, the colour of the reaction medium prior to precipitation is indicative of some degree of complexation, and although the development of this colour in methanol and acetonitrile occurs over a time period similar to that required for precipitation of the acylhydrazone in the absence of the metal, in dimethyl sulfoxide it is clear that there is an efficient metal ion catalysis of hydrazone formation.

When a base such as triethylamine is incorporated in the reaction mixtures, metal ion catalysis (template effect) appears to be important in all solvents, as very rapid reactions (extensive within the time of mixing) occur to give the same neutral complex species as those obtained from reactions with the preformed ligand in basic media. An advantage of performing the syntheses in this “one pot” manner is that a good solvent for all components is much more readily
found than for the reactions involving preformed ligands, so that precipitation of the product from a homogeneous solution occurs easily.

3. General Chemistry of the Grid Systems

As many of the neutral (grid) complexes show appreciable solubility in solvents in which the parent bis(acylhydrazone)s are completely insoluble, it is possible to recover the ligand from neutral complexes by their protonation upon acidification in solution. When the complex \([\text{Zn}_4(1)_4]\), for example, is dissolved in methanol, in which it forms an orange solution, and acetic acid is added, the colour is instantly discharged, and the neutral ligand rapidly precipitates. Significantly, the \(^1H\) NMR spectrum of this material is identical with that of the “preformed” ligand, indicating it to be a mixture of species and, since the crystal structure of the neutral grid shows the presence of a single isomer of the dianionic ligand, this suggests that isomerisation of the neutral ligand is very rapid. Support for this conclusion is offered by the fact that the water-soluble complex \([\text{Zn}_4(6)_4]\) (\(R = \text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\)), when dissolved in \(D_2O\), shows two sharp singlet resonances for the methyl and methane protons, each of which become at least three peaks when acetic acid is added.

We thus conclude that ligand isomerisation cannot constitute a kinetic barrier to the formation of complexes. It may be noted here that attempts to separate \(E/Z\) isomers of acylhydrazones by flash chromatography have been unsuccessful.\(^{[31]}\) Also significant is the fact that the use of \(1H\) NMR to monitor the titration of 1:1 mixtures of \(\text{Zn}^{II}\) and various ligands with triethylamine showed shifts of the triethylamine protons consistent with complete protonation up to the point of addition of a full two equivalents of the base. Independent measurements\(^{[32]}\) on watersoluble grids formed by pyrimidinedicarbaldehyde bis(acylhydrazide) derivatives indicate that the first ligand proton is quite acidic, and that the second is removed at \(\text{pH} = 7\).

4. Crystal Structures of Acylhydrazone Complexes

Basic crystallographic data for all the complexes presently studied are summarised in Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4. Disorder associated with lattice solvent molecules, grid substituents and (in some cases) anions was a common problem, and not all the structures are of equivalent quality. In all cases, however, the nature of the metal aggregate has been established with certainty. Not all crystals obtained proved suitable for an X-ray structure determination, so that the following discussion is presented more in terms of identified grid types than in terms of the systematic variation of the ligands and metals, though certainly some ligand and metal effects are taken into consideration.

(a) Complexes of the Non-Deprotonated Ligands

(i) \(\{\text{Ni}_4(4H_2)_4\}(\text{ClO}_4)_8\)

The reaction between acetonitrile-solvated \(\text{Ni}^{II}\) perchlorate and ligand \(4H_2\) \((R = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5)\) in nitromethane provides a crystalline complex which may be formulated on the basis of its structure determination as \([\text{Ni}_4(4H_2)_4]\)(\text{ClO}_4)_8 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot 4\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2\). (Elemental analysis of the dried bulk material is consistent with a formulation in which only one molecule of nitromethane of solvation is present.) The cation present in the lattice (Figure 8) is a \(2 \times 2\) grid species of a form very similar to those formed with neutral hydrazide ligands\(^{[8,18,33]}\) and remarkably similar to grids formed by the deprotonated acylhydrazones described below. It is thus a useful reference point for the whole of the present discussion.

As viewed perpendicular to the mean plane of the \(\text{Ni}_4\) unit, it appears that this unit is very close to a square, but in fact there is a significant distortion towards a “butterfly” form reflected in the fact that the centroids of the two \(\text{Ni}^{II}\)–\(\text{Ni}^{III}\) diagonals are separated by 0.685 Å. This can be seen largely as a consequence of the fact that the metal–donor–atom array for a given ligand unit does not have the idealised geometry\(^{[34]}\) shown in Figure 6, but instead is such
that the Ni–N(hydrazide) vectors are slightly inclined to one another (here at 15°). This can be accommodated and allows six-coordination of the metal (by binding of a second ligand) by displacing two metal atoms in the same direction out of the idealised square which would be formed if the incline was 0°. As will be seen in considering other grids (see ahead), however, this is perhaps not the only factor of influence (Figure 9).

Figure 8. (a) A view of the full grid unit perpendicular to the Ni₄ plane and (b) a view of the grid in perspective, without phenyl substituents, to show the metal ion coordination; (c) aspects of the geometry of the Ni₄ unit.

Niᴵᴵ⁺-donor atom bond lengths (Table 1) reflect the expected weaker donor ability of the pyrimidine-N atom relative to the hydrazide-N atom, and C–N and C–O bond lengths within the hydrazide unit indicate significant delocalisation and hence an enhanced donor ability of the hydrazide-O atom relative to a simple carbonyl-O atom. While it is conceivable that the bound ligand is in fact deprotonated and that H₂O⁺ and not H₂O is present in the lattice, each uncoordinated hydrazide-N atom has a short contact to oxygen (ca. 2.7–3.2 Å), suggesting that it is indeed protonated, nevertheless involved in strong hydrogen bonding. The oxygen atoms involved in these contacts, interestingly, are those of perchlorate anions and not of water molecules. Unfortunately, the quality of the structure (from a twinned crystal) and disorder of both perchlorate and water entities mitigate against a detailed analysis of the hydrogen bonding.

When viewed down a, for example, the lattice can be considered to be made up of layers of cations, water and some of the perchlorate anions, alternating with layers of perchlorate anions and water. Thus, direct interactions between grid units do not give rise to a three-dimensional array, but within the sheets of cations (parallel to the ab plane), there is an interlocking of grid units as a result of face-to-face interactions of the terminal phenyl rings (any edge-to-face contacts being very remote). Note that, as in several analogous hydrazone complexes, each phenyl group attached to a pyrimidine ring lies within the grid and is parallel to two flanking ligand units at a distance of ca. 3.5 Å, suggesting its involvement in intramolecular stacking. The phenyl group loses its plane of symmetry perpendicular to the ring plane, so that the two ortho and the two meta protons are nonequivalent, as also seen in the solution NMR spectra of certain other complexes.[30]

Though various Niᴵᴵ⁺ salts react with ligand 4H₂ to give isolable red complexes, not all of which prove to contain the undeprtonated ligand, with most of the other metal ions studied this is not so, and the free ligand is the material...
preferentially precipitated from nearly all solutions containing equimolar amounts of MnII, FeII, CoII and ZnII. With CuII, there is obviously complexation, but the product appears to undergo slow decomposition and no crystals suitable for structure determination were isolated. With ZnII, a method was finally found to isolate a crystalline complex, which is consistent with the fact that paramagnetic metal ions in the same structure would be unlikely to show significant magnetic interactions.

In its (Z,Z) form, the three phenyl groups of bound 4H2 are placed in proximity, with similar orientations away from the coordinated metal sites. In the double Zn4 array of the ZnII complex, this means that the upper and lower surfaces parallel to the Zn4 planes consist essentially of arrays of phenyl groups, since it is the (Z,Z) ligands which form the exterior faces. Unsurprisingly, there is strong interpenetration of these arrays from different double-grid units, which is consistent with the fact that π-stacking plays a significant role in the lattice assembly. Though the (E,E) ligands appear less accessible for intermolecular contacts, the fact that, in this configuration, the terminal phenyl groups project laterally rather than axially allows significant “slipped” overlap between staggered arrays of one set of these ligands, while the other set has at most remote contacts between the terminal carbon atoms but is involved in stacking with the lattice benzene molecules. The lattice water and acetonitrile molecules, as well as the [BF4]− anions, are involved also in various contacts between themselves and with aromatic rings, so that the full gamut of weak forces appearing to be operative is highly complicated.

Although its crystal structure has not been determined, it is worth noting that a 2:1 complex of 4H2, Zn4(4H2)2(CF3SO3)42H2O·CH3CN, is readily isolated. While it is perhaps surprising that the binding of two cations does not lead to facile acid dissociation of the ligand, it may be that repulsion between the cationic centres is relieved by adopt
(b) Complexes Containing Partly Deprotonated Ligands

\(\text{(i)} \quad \left[\text{Co}_4(4H)_4\right] \left(\text{BF}_4\right)_4\)

While rational syntheses based on monodeprotonation of the acylhydrazones are presumably possible, the first complex of this type was isolated from a reaction medium (involving ligand \(4H_2\)) to which no base was deliberately added, a result which parallels those concerning several thioacylhydrazone analogues\(^{[19]}\), although it has proved difficult to reproduce. Under conditions of formally correct stoichiometry, it appears to be the complex \(\left[\text{Co}_4(4H)_2\right] \left(\text{BF}_4\right)_2\) which precipitates. The crystal selected from the initial preparation was characterised by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study, from which the composition \(\left[\text{Co}_4(4H)_4\right] \left(\text{BF}_4\right)_2\cdot7\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\) was deduced, as well as the presence of a quadruply charged \(2\times2\) \(\text{Co}_{4}^{II}\) grid species (Figure 11), very similar in form, including the “butterfly” nature of the \(M_4\) unit, to that seen in the complex \(\left[\text{Ni}_4(4H_2)_4\right] \left(\text{ClO}_4\right)_8 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot4\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2\) (Figure 8). While the structure is somewhat more complicated to analyse than those of related neutral \(M^{I}\) complex species incorporating fully deprotonated ligands, there are some remarkable similarities between them all (see ahead). Assuming the residual proton of \(4H^-\) to be localised on one N-centre, isomeric forms of the grid are possible, and thus it is unfortunate that NH protons were not located in the structure solution. However, a view of the cationic grid unit shows that each ligand unit is unsymmetrical, with a significant but different twisting distortion of one hydrazide entity on each, so that the idealised isomeric form is that of \(S_4\) symmetry shown also in Figure 11. The actual symmetry of the lattice is such that the grid units have no symmetry and thus all four Co atoms are nonequivalent. In agreement with the fact that the bent ends of the ligands are the sites of protonation, the Co–O bond lengths (Table 1) are greater than those for the less distorted units, though comparison (ahead) with data for fully deprotonated complexes of \(\text{Co}^{II}\) indicates that the overall effect of protonation/deprotonation on bond lengths is quite slight and may not be simply related to differences in the formal charge assigned to the donor atoms. Further-

Figure 10. (a) A view of the “double-grid” unit and (b) an approximately orthogonal view of one rectangular grid; (c) geometry of the \(Zn_4\) unit and views, perpendicular to the mean plane of the pyrimidine ring, of the two types of ligand unit [lower: \((Z,Z)\); upper: \((E,E)\)] found in each rectangle.
more, given that force constants for bond stretching and bending for light elements considerably exceed those for transition-metal–donor-atom bonds, it is reasonable that it is the ligand which imposes nearly a fixed coordination geometry on the metal.

A feature of the lattice of [Co₄(4H)₄][BF₄]₄·7CH₃CN, which is not found in that of [Ni₄(4H₂)₄][ClO₄]₈·8H₂O·4CH₃NO₂ presumably because of the need to accommodate a greater number of anions, is the three-dimensionality of the interactions between grids, a feature rather commonly observed in neutral grids. Every grid in the lattice of [Co₄(4H)₄][BF₄]₄·7CH₃CN can be considered to be surrounded tetrahedrally by four others in a way which involves a kind of “slipped stacking” of pairs of hydrazone ligands from separate grids, so that every (grid)(grid)₄ unit has idealised $S_4$ symmetry (Figure 12). This extended tetrahedral interlocking of grids gives rise to a lattice which, when viewed down $c$, for example, appears to consist of columns composed of grids in alternating, inverted pairs separated by channels into which protrude the “bent” ends of the ligands and which contain partially disordered [BF₄]⁻ anions and acetonitrile solvent as well. Some anions and solvents can also be considered to be located within the grid columns, and in both environments there are numerous contacts to aromatic entities.

(ii) [Ni₄(4H)₂.₅(4H)₁.₅][NO₃]₁.₅·CH₃OH·0.₅(C₂H₅)₂O

The isolation of a complex of a deprotonated thiohydrazone ligand by use of the metal nitrate in methanol has been suggested to be a consequence of the consumption of acid by a (metal-catalysed) reduction of nitrate by the solvent. Though this seems less likely for acetonitrile, the
presently isolated complex of ligand 4H₂ with Ni(NO₃)₂ was recrystallised from methanol, and both needle and plate-like crystals were apparent in the product mass. As with the CoII complex above, analysis of the bulk product corresponded to a composition, [Ni₄(4H)₃][NO₃]₃.5(CH₃OH)0.5(C₂H₅)₂O, which is different from that deduced from solution of the crystal structure for a selected plate-like crystal. Perhaps the simplest explanation of all the present results, including the isolation of true complexes of the uncharged ligands from the most (Bønnsted) acidic solvent, nitromethane, is that coordination of the ligand results in partial dissociation and the formation of multiple species related by proton loss in solution, and various species precipitate, depending upon subtle aspects of lattice interactions. The solid-state structure of the material, which was assigned the composition [Ni₄(4H)₂.5(4H)₃][NO₃]₅(CH₃OH)0.5(C₂H₅)₂O (presumably indicating it to be a mixture of different protonated forms) on the basis of its structure solution, shows various similarities to that of [Co₄(4H)₃][BF₄]₂.7CH₃CN, including the bending of a terminal phenyl group unit (Figure 13) and the tetrahedral coordination of one grid unit by four others involving close contacts of atoms within parallel ligand planes of separate grids. Perhaps in reflection of the higher degree of ligand deprotonation, the Ni₄ unit is closer to a true square than that in the complex of the undeprotonated ligand.

Figure 13. (a) Orthogonal views of the cationic grid unit in [Ni₄(4H)₂.5(4H)₃][NO₃]₅(CH₃OH)0.5(C₂H₅)₂O; (b) aspects of the geometry of the Ni₄ unit of the grid.

(c) Complexes of the Doubly Deprotonated Ligands

Structural studies within the group of ligands presently described have been limited to the CoII, NiII and ZnII complexes of ligand 1H₂ and the FeII, CoIII, NiIII, CuII and ZnII complexes of ligand 3H₂. All are confirmed to be [2×2] grids, but significant variations in both the grid core and the lattice interactions are seen.

Neutral Grids of Ligand 1²⁻

A common feature of the Co, Ni and Zn complexes of 1²⁻ is the high degree of hydration of the solids.

(i) [Co₄(1)₄] Complex: From the structure determination, the CoII complex is formulated as [Co₄(1)₄]·8CH₃OH·16H₂O and contains a familiar grid unit with a butterfly-form Co₄ aggregate and with Co–N and Co–O bond lengths all very similar on average to those of [Co₄(4H)₃][BF₄]₂.7CH₃CN. There is also a similarity to this complex in that the lattice can be regarded as involving tetrahedrally connected grid units, though the slipped stacking observed here is associated also with bridging of grids by water molecules forming hydrogen bonds to hydrazide-N and acetyl-O atoms of essentially orthogonal ligands of adjacent grids. Disorder in the solvent molecule array makes any detailed interpretation of its nature difficult, but it is clear that hydrogen bonding plays a major role in the assembly of the lattice.

(ii) [Ni₄(1)₄] Complex: The structure of [Ni₄(1)₄]·18H₂O (Figure 14) displays several significant differences from that of the Co complex, which may be to some extent dependent upon their differences in solvation, although the grid unit is overall very similar, despite the twofold and not fourfold symmetry associated with its different space group. The distortion of the Ni₄ unit from planarity, as measured by the distance between the centroids of the diagonals (0.216 Å vs. 0.925 Å for the Co species) is considerably smaller, and this is associated with a packing of the grid units which reflects their twofold symmetry in that although each grid is surrounded by four others in an essentially tetrahedral array (involving, once again, “slipped stacking” of parallel ligand units), two neighbours are slightly more remote than the others, so that the lattice, when viewed down a, has the appearance of being built up from staggered double-layers of grids. Despite its partial disorder, the water molecule array clearly involves hydrogen bonding to all the hydrazide-N and acetyl-O atoms of every grid as well as water–water interactions (Figure 15), forming chains that link the grids additionally to any stacking, and perhaps it is the importance of this extensive set of interactions that results in the distortion of the intergrid stacking (relative to that in the Co complex). Another possible consequence is
the fact that grids lying along the columns seen end-on down $a$ are now close enough for contacts to occur between the acetyl-$\text{CH}_3$ groups and the acetyl-$O$ atoms (C--O 3.66 Å). (For coplanar grid units of the Co structure, these atoms are $\gtrsim$4 Å apart.) Other adjacent grids in the Ni complex have acetyl-$\text{CH}_3$/acetyl-$\text{CH}_3$ and acetyl-$\text{CH}_3$/phenyl-$C$ contacts of also ca. 3.7 Å.

Figure 15. A partial view of the hydrogen bond network present in $[\text{Ni}_4(1)\cdot\cdot\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}]_3$.

(iii) $[\text{Zn}_4(1)\cdot\cdot\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}]_3$ Complex: The structure of $[\text{Zn}_4(1)\cdot\cdot\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}]_3$ is practically isostructural with that of its Ni analogue. Metal-donor-atom bonds are slightly longer to Zn$^{II}$ than to Ni$^{II}$, but the distortion of the Zn$_4$ unit from planarity (diagonal centroid separation 0.191 Å) is marginally smaller. Evidence for intergrid interactions is the same, so that again it appears that hydrogen bonding to the apolar grid is an important interaction.

Neutral Grids of Ligand 3$^2$-

Complexes of the ligand 3$^2$- make up the most nearly complete series of metallogrid complexes structurally characterised. All five metals (Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn) studied form the expected $[2\times2]M^{II}$ grids, but with some significant differences in structure both within the series and between their analogues in the series formed by $I_{2}^-$. Introduction of a $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{15}$ “tail” in $3^2$- in place of the methyl group of $I_{2}^-$ leads to a much lower degree of hydration of the $[M_4(3)_{2}]_4$ series, where either tetra- (Fe, Co, Ni) or di- (Cu, Zn) hydrates are formed. The retained water molecules act in isolation to form bridges, again involving hydrazide-$N$ and acyl-$O$ acceptors, between grids. Across the $[M_4(3)_{2}]_4$ series, there is remarkably little variation in M--O and M--N(pyrimidine) distances (Cu$^{II}$ excepted – see below) and only the M--N(hydrazide) bond lengths show the variations expected on the basis of changes in effective nuclear charge across the transition-metal group. For the Co, Ni and Zn complexes of $3^2$- and $I_{2}^-$, there are no significant differences in analogous M--O and M--N bond lengths, but considerable differences are found in the distortions of the M$_4$ units from planarity. Thus, in all $[M_4(3)_{2}]_4$ complexes, the diagonal--centroid separations are ca. 1 Å and do not diminish dramatically across the series, as seems to be the case for the $I_{2}^-$ complexes. The origins of this difference are obscure, especially given that despite such an “internal” difference, “externally” all the grids (from both ligands) display similar properties in that they form very similar lattices (space group differences accommodated). A particular common feature is the tetrahedral coordination of any one grid by four others, associated in all cases with a seeming stacking of ligand units and, given its persistence, indicating that, whatever the nature of the interactions giving rise to these contacts, they are an important means of association of acylhydrazone grids.

The Fe$^{II}$, Co$^{II}$ and Ni$^{II}$ complexes of ligand $3^2$- are isostructural, while the analogous Zn$^{II}$ complex crystallises in a cell of lower symmetry associated with a lower degree of hydration. Useful for more detailed consideration of the $[M_4(3)_{2}]_4$ structures is the form of $[\text{Cu}_4(3)_{2}]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, which, although unique as a structurally characterised grid, provides a lattice that may be considered to be the “Rosetta Stone” for the interpretation of all the present structures. In the molecular grid unit $[\text{Cu}_4(3)_{2}]$ (Figure 16), several features of the geometry differ markedly from those of the Co, Ni and Zn grids. One that does not is the geometry of the binding portion of the ligand, indicating that bond lengths and bond angles about the metals must be the primary means of adapting to any degree of metal–ligand misfit. Thus, for a ligand intended to generate grids with octahedral units by coordinating metal ions, it is unsurprising, given the well-known tendency of Cu$^{II}$ to adopt strongly tetragonally distorted octahedral geometry (and generally to readily lose one or two of its six ligands), to find that the Cu$^{II}$ coordination geometry in the present complex is very irregular (Figure 17), involving in particular considerable variation (up to ca. 0.4 Å) in the Cu--N(pyrimidine) distances.

As discussed earlier, the outer donor atoms of a given tritopic site cannot generally lie at the same distance from the metal as the central donor while also giving bond angles of $\pi/2$. Thus, distortion of some kind is inherent in grid formation, and it is certainly well-known that it can become very marked in grids larger than the $[2\times2]$ type.$^{[8,33,35]}$ Hence, the form of the Cu grid here may express the way in which any grid must tend to distort in order to achieve the most efficient coordination of the ligand. While all the
Figure 16. Views and various features of the geometry of the grid unit present in the lattice of [Cu₄₃₄]·2H₂O. The projection of the Cu₄ unit is given with Cu(1)···Cu(1') along x and Cu(2)···Cu(2') along y, although the two axes are not coplanar.

Figure 17. Donor atom distribution about the Cu(II) centres of a grid unit.

Figure 18. Comparison illustrating the grossly similar forms of the lattices of the Co and Cu complexes.
Figure 19. Partial views of the lattice of [Cu₄₃₄]·2H₂O (down c) showing (a) all atoms and (b) water molecule oxygen atoms only.

Figure 20. Adjacent Cu₄ grids with their shorter edges at the closest position, showing water molecules that form bridges between the two grids.

brings the shorter edges of the grid units into proximity. Longer edge pairs are also present, but their proximity does not seem to be directly assisted by hydrogen bonding. Perhaps the length of the edges keeps hydrogen-bond-acceptor sites too remote to be efficiently bridged by a single water molecule, while “aromatic–aromatic” contacts are maintained (Figure 21), which is perhaps again consistent with their provision of a more important contribution to the lattice energy and structure.

Figure 21. Atom contacts of 3.6 Å or less between ligand atoms in (a) the shorter edges of two adjacent grids and (b) the longer edges of two adjacent grids.

(d) Properties of the Grid Complexes

Crucial to the extension of the chemistry of bis(acylhydrazone) ligands presently studied is the knowledge of the exact conditions under which metallogrid species are stable. Clearly, the several crystal structures obtained here inspire confidence in the belief that in the solid state, certainly with doubly deprotonated ligands, grid species are present. In solution, the situation is less certain in general, although NMR spectroscopy of Zn²⁺ complexes again indicates the presence of grids (at [Zn²⁺] = 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹) only when the ligands are doubly deprotonated. Nonetheless, most of the neutral grid complexes can be subjected to adsorption (silica) chromatography without decomposition, indicating that all of them retain at least the grid stoichiometry in solution (in solvents such as dichloromethane containing ca. 10% methanol). The most direct evidence of the form of paramagnetic species in solution, particularly that of those for which crystal structures have not been obtained, is provided by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, though of course this establishes most directly the stoichiometry and not the structure. Here, in a relatively strongly coordinating solvent like tetrahydrofuran, there is evidence that the grids begin to undergo extensive dissociation at concentrations below 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹.

In regard to possible applications, the properties of grid complexes of importance include not only their redox, elec-
Electronic and magnetic interactions within the metal ion quadrants of the grids are expected, but also the supramolecular chemistry which may be mediated by their substituents. The crystal structures reported here show that, despite their symmetrical and thus apolar nature, neutral grids derived from acylhydrazones are good hydrogen bond acceptors, in view of the presence of charged sites in the deprotonated ligands, and are prone to stacking interactions involving the delocalised ligand entities. Differing substituents derived from the acid hydrazide moieties have a marked influence on the interactions of the grids with the medium, viz. upon their solubility, and in the particular case of the grid derived from methoxymethoxacetohydrazide, the detection of Na⁺ adducts by mass spectrometry indicates that metal ion coordination by appropriate side chains is possible.

Different transition-metal ions must endow their grids with different redox, spectroscopic and magnetic properties, and while detailed studies of the magnetism of the complexes are still in progress,¹ spray mass spectrometry shows that the FeII grids are in mixed-spin states at room temperature (in solution). Fluorescence apparent for the ZnII grids is quenched in all the open-shell transition-metal ion grids, though the exact mechanism of deactivation is yet to be established. All the grids show strong absorption in the visible region, with features that vary not only with the metal, but also with the acyl-unit substituents. That the acylhydrazone-derived grids may show very different redox behaviour from the grids derived from pyridyldihydrazone is indicated by the difficulty experienced in obtaining a CoIII complex of the benzylohydrazide 4H₂. While CoIII complexes of pyridyldihydrzones are very sensitive to atmospheric oxygen or hydrogen peroxide, and appears to undergo only partial oxidation by CeIV to give a mixed-valence CoIII-CoII grid.

Conclusions

The grid complexes presently characterised are related to but differ significantly from those derived from both bis-(hydrazine)s of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde and thiocarbonylbis(hydrazone)s. Bis(acylhydrazine)s, as neutral ligands, appear to be poorer chelators than the analogous neutral bis(hydrazone)s, but they are more easily deprotonated, enhancing their donor properties and thus providing, with MII ions, a family of neutral grids with lateral substituents which may be very readily varied over a wide range. Compared to thiocarbonylbis(hydrazone)s, their metal-chelating sites are considerably more widely separated [especially in their (Z,Z) form], so that different electronic and magnetic interactions within the metal ion quartet of the grids are expected.

The present structural studies show that some aspects of grid geometry, in particular the distortion of the M₄ unit from planarity, are remarkably sensitive to minor variations in the ligand structure. The geometry of the chelating sites of the ligands, in contrast, is insensitive to both its substituents and to the bound metal ion, and it is clear that accommodation of the requirements of a grid array is largely achieved through the flexibility of the metal ion coordination environment. A feature of nearly all the grid lattices presently studied is the association of grid units in pseudo-tetrahedral arrays, where ligands of different grids lie parallel and with numerous atom contacts < 3.5 Å, which is presumably indicative of a type of stacking interaction. Another common feature is hydration of the formally apolar grid units through hydrazide-N and acyl-O hydrogen bond acceptor sites. Finally, a remarkable feature resides in the efficient self-assembly of the grid structures in the presence of a base directly from their organic components and the metal ions (see also ref.[²²²]).

Experimental Section

Reagents and Equipment: Although a literature synthesis of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde exists,¹ the compound was presently prepared by an adaptation of an alternative literature method for partial oxidation of azaaromatic methyl groups ap­plied to 4,6-dimethyl-2-phenylpyrimidine and developed by Dr. J.-L. Schmitt. The procedure is detailed below. 1-methyl-1-propionylhydrazine was obtained as described in the literature.[¹⁷] Acetoxyhydrazide, benzoxyhydrazide, 4-tolouhydrazide and heptanohydrazide were obtained from Aldrich Chemicals and used as received. Methoxacetyl- and methoxymethoxacetyl chloride were obtained commercially (Lancaster) and converted into the hydrazides by reaction with excess hydrazine. All solvents and chemicals used in the syntheses were reagent grade and were used without further purification or drying. Dimethyl sulfoxide (dmso) solvates of metal triflates and tetrafluoroborates used as reactants in various complex ion syntheses were prepared by precipitation (at least twice) of the (commercial) anhydrous or hydrated salts from dmso by the addition of ethanol/diethyl ether. For [Cu(dmso)₆](CF₃SO₃)₂, the presence of the hexakis(solvent) species in the solid was confirmed by a crystal structure determination.[¹⁸] [Ni(CH₃CN)₆](ClO₄)₂ was prepared as described in the literature.[³⁹] Iron(II) ascorbate was purchased from Sigma Chemicals.

Solution ³H (400 MHz) and ¹³C (100 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Ultrashield Avance 400 instrument, using residual solvent proton resonances as internal references for the ¹³C NMR spectra and the solvent ¹³C peaks as references for the ¹³C NMR spectra. The atom numbering used in assignments of the NMR peaks is shown in Figure 22. Mass spectra (MALDI-TOF and electrospray (ESMS)) were provided by the Service de Spectrometrie de Masse, Université Louis Pasteur. MALDI-TOF spectra of neutral grid species in all cases provided [M + H]⁺ peaks. Elemental microanalyses (C,H,N) were provided by the Service d’Ana-

Figure 22. Atom numbering used in description of the NMR spectra.
lysates, Université Louis Pasteur, all samples being gently dried by storage in an unevacuated desiccator containing concentrated H2SO4 as desiccant for at least 3 d.

### Synthesis

(a) 2-Phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde: A stirred solution of 4,6-dimethyl-2-phenylpyrimidine (5.08 g; 27.6 mmol), iodine (14.02 g; 53.2 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (8.0 mL; 103.8 mmol) in deoxygenated dmso (200 mL) was heated, under argon, at 150 °C for 135 min. After cooling to ambient temperature, further manipulations were conducted under the normal atmosphere. A solution of Na2S2O3·5H2O (31.6 g; 127.3 mmol) in water (100 mL) was added, causing discharge of the I2 colour, after which the solution was neutralised by the addition of saturated NaHCO3 solution. The product was extracted into CH2Cl2 (6 × 100 mL) and the combined extracts dried with MgSO4 before being taken to dryness in vacuo. Yield: 280 mg (80 %).

(b) Bis(acylhydrazone)s of 2-Phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde, RCONR′=CH3H3N=CH=N=HN=CHR′: 1H NMR ([D6] dmso): δ = 10.18 (s, 2 H, 7,8-H), 8.60 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H, 10.14-H), 8.12 (s, 1 H, 5-H), 7.58 (m, 3 H, 11,12,13-H) ppm. 13C NMR ([D6] dmso): δ = 192.12 (C-7,8), 166.76 (C-2), 160.25 (C-4,6), 135.50 (C-9), 128.60, 128.93 (C-10,14, C-11,13), 132.17 (C-12), 109.97 (C-5) ppm.

(v) R = CH3H3N=CH=N=HN=CHR′, R′ = H (H1L2): The procedure used for ligand 3H2 was followed for the reaction of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde (223 mg) and toluohydrazide (331 mg), the acylhydrazone again precipitating as an almost white solid which was recrystallised from pyridine by addition of acetonitrile. C18H20N6O4·1/2H2O (457.49): calcld. C 68.26, H 4.63, N 18.37; found C 68.4, H 4.74, N 18.13 %. M.p. 287 °C. Yield: 97 mg (93 %).

Use of methanol as a solvent gave essentially the same result, though initiation of the precipitation was slower (ca. 30 min). The compound was recrystallised from pyridine by addition of acetonitrile. C28H24N6O2 (476.53): calcd. C 70.57, H 4.69, N 17.6 %. M.p. 286–287 °C. 1H NMR ([D6] dmso): δ = 12.40 (s, 2 H), 8.56 (s, 2 H), 8.45 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 2 H), 8.35 (s, 1 H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 4 H) 7.67–7.62 (m, 3 H), 7.62–7.53 (m, 6 H) ppm. 13C NMR ([D6] dmso): δ = 160.4, 163.6, 163.1, 145.7, 136.5, 132.8, 132.3, 132.1, 128.8, 128.6, 127.9, 108.9 ppm. ESMS: m/z (%) = 449.10 (100) [M + H]+.

(vi) R = 4-CH3C6H4H3N=CH=N=HN=CHR′, R′ = H (H1L2): The procedure used for ligand 3H2 was followed for the reaction of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde (223 mg) and toluohydrazide (331 mg), the acylhydrazone again precipitating as an almost white solid which was recrystallised from pyridine by addition of acetonitrile. C28H24N6O2 (476.53): calcld. C 70.57, H 4.69, N 17.6 %. M.p. 287 °C. 1H NMR ([D6] dmso): δ = 12.40 (s, 2 H), 8.56 (s, 2 H), 8.45 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 2 H), 8.35 (s, 1 H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 4 H) 7.67–7.62 (m, 3 H), 7.62–7.53 (m, 6 H) ppm. 13C NMR ([D6] dmso): δ = 160.4, 163.6, 163.1, 145.7, 136.5, 132.8, 132.3, 132.1, 128.8, 128.6, 127.9, 108.9 ppm. ESMS: m/z (%) = 477.2 (100) [M + H]+.

(vii) R = CH3OCH2H3N=CH=N=HN=CHR′, R′ = H (H1L2): The procedure used for ligand 3H2 was followed for the reaction of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde (223 mg) and toluohydrazide (331 mg), the acylhydrazone again precipitating as an almost white solid which was recrystallised from pyridine by addition of acetonitrile. C28H24N6O2 (476.53): calcld. C 70.57, H 4.69, N 17.6 %. M.p. 287–288 °C. 1H NMR ([D6] dmso): δ = 15.49 (w, 2 H), 12.39 (w), 12.34, 8.56, 8.46, 8.34, 8.22 (w), 8.21 (w), 7.90, 7.89, 7.81 (w), 7.79 (w), 7.59, 7.40, 7.38, 7.33 (w), 7.31 (w), 2.44 (w), 2.41 ppm. 13C NMR ([D6] dmso): δ = 164.0, 163.4, 163.1, 158.2, 145.4, 142.5, 136.5, 136.1, 131.6, 131.2, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 114.9, 108.8, 104.5, 104.4, 21.1s, 21.0 ppm. ESMS: m/z (%) = 477.2 (100) [M + H]+.
aldehyde (40 mg) and methoxyethoxyacetohydrazide (82 mg) were allowed to react in acetonitrile (5 mL) for 2 h before the solvent was removed under vacuum. The pale yellow residue was then dissolved in the minimum volume of boiling acetonitrile and the solution cooled on ice to provide clusters of pale yellow needles. These were recrystallised similarly. Yield: 57 mg (64%).

\[
\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} (481.51): \text{calc. C} 54.88, \text{H} 6.07, \text{found C} 54.8, \text{H} 6.2, \text{N} 17.6. \]  
\[
\text{M. p.} 165-166 ^\circ\text{C}. \text{δ} = 10.66, 9.82, 8.50, 8.24, 8.15, 7.92, 7.56, 4.62, 4.17, 3.74, 3.60, 3.55, 3.43, 3.33 \text{ ppm.} \]  
\[
\text{1}^\text{3}\text{C} \text{NMR (CDCl}_3\text{):} \text{δ} = 168.4, 167.9, 166.9, 164.7, 162.9, 161.0, 158.1, 147.4, 146.0, 137.7, 136.9, 136.2, 131.7, 131.1, 129.1, 128.3, 128.7, 126.8, 123.8, 124.6, 115.0, 111.3, 71.6, 71.5, 71.4, 71.1, 71.0, 70.1, 70.0, 59.1, 58.8 ppm. \text{ESMS:} \text{m/z (%)} = 473.36 (100) [M + H]^+.\]

(c) Metal Ion Complexes of the Bis(acylhydrazone) Ligands

(i) \( R = \text{CH}_3, R' = \text{H} (\text{H}_2\text{}) \)

\(\text{Zn}^{\text{II}} \text{Complex (Zn/L 1:1) of the Doubly Deprotonated Ligand,} \) [Zn\((\text{H}_2\text{})_2\text{CH}_3\text{OH} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{Zn} (1997.3): \text{calcld. C} 39.09, \text{H} 5.35, \text{N} 15.83; \text{found C} 39.3, \text{H} 4.4, \text{N} 16.9. \]  
\[
\text{M. p.} > 250 ^\circ\text{C (decomp.).} \text{1H NMR (D}_{\text{DMSO}}: \text{δ} = 7.60 (t, 1 \text{ J} = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H, 8-H}), 7.52 (s, 1 \text{ H, 1-H}), 7.51 (s, 1 \text{ H, 9.9-H}), 7.09 (t, 1 \text{ J} = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H, 7.7'-H}), 6.28 (d, 1 \text{ J} = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H, 6.6'-H}), 1.82 (s, 6 \text{ H, CH}_3 \text{ ppm. (A large water peak was also observed, as expected from the high degree of hydration of the solid.} ) \text{1}^\text{3}\text{C} \text{NMR (D}_{\text{DMSO}}): \text{δ} = 181.7, 165.1, 158.4, 136.1, 133.4, 130.0, 128.0, 125.2, 117.8, 22.5 (\text{CH}_3 \text{ ppm. MS (MALDI-TOF):} \text{m/z (%)} = 1551.1 (100) [M + H]+.\]

Other \(\text{M}^{\text{III}} \text{Complexes (M/L 1:1) of the Doubly Deprotonated Ligand,} \) [Ni\((\text{H}_2\text{})_4\text{H}_2\text{O}]\):
The following synthesis illustrates an alternative to the above, in which the preformed diacylhydrazone is used as a reactant. A solution of KO\(\text{t} \) was slowly added to a stirred slurry of \(\text{Co} \cdot \text{H} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{H} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{Co} (2007.2) : \text{calcld. C} 59.71, \text{H} 6.9, \text{N} 14.4; \text{found C} 59.6, \text{H} 7.04, \text{N} 15.1. \]  
\[
\text{M. p.} > 250 ^\circ\text{C (decomp.).} \text{MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z (%)} = 2217.4 (100).\]

(\(\text{iv)} \text{ R = C}_\text{H}_3, R' = \text{H} (\text{4H}_2\text{)} \)

Attempted Synthesis of the \(\text{Co}^{\text{III}} \text{Complex (Co/L 1:1) of the Singly Deprotonated Ligand:} \) Triethylamine (10 mg, viz. 1 mL of a solution of 0.1 g of the amine in 10 mL of \(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\)) was added to a yellow solution of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde (22 mg) in \(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\) (1 mL), causing the colour to become brownish, though immediate addition of a solution of benzohydrazide (27 mg) in \(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\) (1 mL) caused a return to pale yellow. Without delay, a solution of [Co(dmsos)]\(\text{BF}_3\) (70 mg) in \(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\) (1 mL) was added, producing a deep brown-red solution from which small, dark red crystals began to deposit within 30 s. After 12 h, the precipitate was collected and washed on the filter with \(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\) and then diethyl ether. Yield: 18 mg (33%). The complex was recrystallised from chloroform (in which it is only moderately soluble) by the addition of acetonitrile. [Co\((\text{H}_2\text{})_4\text{H}_2\text{O}]\)\(\text{BF}_3\) = \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{H}_2\text{Br}_2\text{Co}_2\text{F}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{O}_x (2198.3): \text{calcld. C} 59.85, \text{H} 3.39, \text{N} 15.3; \text{found C} 59.8, \text{H} 3.9, \text{N} 15.4. \]

Note that a single crystal drawn from the initial reaction product was found, as a result of the solution of its structure by X-ray crystallography, to have the composition [Co\((\text{H}_2\text{})_4\text{H}_2\text{O}]\)\(\text{BF}_3\)\(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\).

\(\text{Co}^{\text{III}} \text{Complex (Co/L 1:1) of the Doubly Deprotonated Ligand:} \) The procedure above was repeated except that the quantity of triethylamine added (20 mg) was doubled. Here, copious precipitation of a dark red solid occurred immediately, though again 2 h was allowed for equilibration. The final precipitate was collected and washed with acetonitrile followed by diethyl ether on the filter. Yield: 48 mg (89%). The complex was recrystallised from chloroform by the addition of acetonitrile. [Co\((\text{H}_2\text{})_4\text{H}_2\text{O}]\)\(\text{BF}_3\) = \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{H}_2\text{Br}_2\text{Co}_2\text{F}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{O}_x (2197.2): \text{calcld. C} 58.16, \text{H} 4.04, \text{N} 15.65; \text{found C} 58.2, \text{H} 4.2, \text{N} 15.0. \]

MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z (%) = 2022.2 (100) [M + H]+.\]

\(\text{Ni}^{\text{II}} \text{Complexes (Ni/L 1:1) of the Undeprotonated and Partly Deprotonated Ligand:} \) (a) Ligand \(\text{H}_2\) (42.4 mg) and [Ni\(\text{(CH}_3\text{CN)}_2\]...
(ClO$_4$)$_2$ (47.7 mg) were stirred at room temperature with anhydrous CH$_3$NO$_2$ (8 mL) until a clear red solution was obtained. Stirring was continued for another 3 h before diisopropyl ether was added to cause precipitation of the red complex. [Ni(4H$_2$)$_2$]Cl(ClO$_4$)$_2$, CH$_3$NO$_2$ = C$_{10}$H$_{19}$Ni$_2$O$_{13}$ (2885.3): calcd. C 47.40, H 2.90, N 12.13; found C 43.9, H 3.6, N 12.2%. Note that a single crystal drawn from the reaction mixture was assigned the composition [Ni(4H$_2$)$_2$]Cl(ClO$_4$)$_2$·8H$_2$O·4CH$_3$NO$_2$ on the basis of the solution of its X-ray structure determination. (b) [Ni(OH)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_2$ (29 mg) and 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde (23 mg) were dissolved in CH$_3$CN (2 mL), giving a yellow-green solution. C$_6$H$_5$CONHNH$_2$ (27 mg) in CH$_3$CN (1 mL) was then added, an initial pale violet precipitate dissolving readily on agitation of the mixture. Within 30 s, a yellow precipitate commenced to form from the yellow solution, but on continued agitation, the solution became dark red, and the precipitate transformed into a red-brown solid. After 2 h, the solid was collected by filtration and washed with CH$_3$CN and diethyl ether. Yield: 28 mg (48%). The complex was recrystallised from methanol by the addition of diethyl ether. Slow crystallisation by vapour diffusion of diisopropyl ether into a methanol solution provided a mixture of plate- and needle-like crystals. The synthesis can equally well be conducted in methanol, in which case no precipitates form, but a reaction time of at least 3 h is required at room temperature before the product can be precipitated by the addition of ether.

Elemental analyses indicated that the complex in fact contains the ligand in both its doubly and singly deprotonated forms. [Ni(4H$_2$)$_2$]Cl(ClO$_4$)$_2$·4CH$_3$OH = C$_{10}$H$_{19}$Ni$_2$O$_{13}$ (2339.9): calcd. C 55.44, H 4.01, N 15.73; found C 55.7, H 4.2, N 15.7 %. MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z (%) = 2021.3 (80) [M + H] +.

**Zn$^{II}$ Complex (Zn/L 1:1) of the Doubly Deprotonated Ligand:** The procedure described above for preparation of the Co$^{II}$ analogue was repeated with [Zn(dmso)$_6$](CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ (83 mg) substituted for [Co(dmso)$_6$](BF$_4$)$_2$. A bright red precipitate formed immediately, though again 12 h was allowed for full equilibration. The solid was collected and washed with acetonitrile and diethyl ether. Yield: 45 mg (84%). The complex was recrystallised from CHCl$_3$ by addition of CH$_3$CN, microanalyis then indicating that it was in fact the complex of the doubly deprotonated ligand: [Zn(4H)$_2$]Cl(H$_2$O) = C$_{10}$H$_{19}$Ni$_2$O$_{13}$Zn$_4$ (2119.5): calcd. C 58.94, H 3.80, N 15.86; found C 59.3, H 3.9, N 15.9 %. M.p. > 250 °C (decomp.).

**Zn$^{II}$ Complex (Zn/L 1:1) of the Undeprotonated Ligand:** A filtered solution of C$_6$H$_5$CONHNH$_2$ (27 mg) and Zn(BF$_4$)$_2$ (23 mg) were dissolved in CH$_3$CN (5 mL), giving a red solution, which was then taken to dryness under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of CH$_3$CN (1 mL) and CH$_3$NO$_2$ (2 mL), giving a deep red solution which was then diluted with benzene (10 mL). On keeping the mixture in a sealed flask, small red plates slowly deposited within a few hours, to be followed over a period of several days by yellow crystals of free ligand. One of the red crystals was selected for a crystal structure determination, from which it was assigned the composition [Zn(4H$_2$)$_2$](Zn$_4$(OH)$_2$)$_4$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$]Cl$_2$(BF$_4$)$_2$·8C$_2$H$_4$·5CH$_3$CN·3H$_2$O.

**Zn$^{II}$ Complex (Zn/L 2:1) of the Undeprotonated Ligand:** A filtered solution of C$_6$H$_5$CONHNH$_2$ (27 mg) and Zn(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ (75 mg) in CH$_3$CN (2 mL) was mixed with a filtered solution of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde (23 mg) dissolved in CH$_3$CN (2 mL), giving a yellow-green solution. 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde (43 mg) in CH$_3$CN (2 mL). An amorphous, dark-brownish-green, followed by a solution of 2-phenylpyrimidine-4,6-dicarbaldehyde (43 mg) in CH$_3$CN (2 mL). After 12 h, by which time the precipitate was obviously crystalline, the solid was collected and washed with acetonitrile and diethyl ether. Yield: 34 mg. Microscopic examination indicated contamination of this crude product with a few crystals of the bis(acetylhydrazone). The complex was recrystallised from CHCl$_3$ by addition of CH$_3$CN, microanalysis then indicating that it was in fact the complex of the doubly deprotonated ligand: [Zn(4H)$_2$]Cl(H$_2$O) = C$_{10}$H$_{19}$Ni$_2$O$_{13}$Zn$_4$ (2119.5): calcd. C 58.94, H 3.80, N 15.86; found C 59.3, H 3.9, N 15.9 %. M.p. > 250 °C (decomp.).
The complex was recrystallised from ethanol/CH2Cl2 (1:10) by slow evaporation of the filtered solution. [Cu4(H2)][ClO4]·H2O = C104H104Cl8N24Ni4O52 (2278.3): calcd. C 58.15, H 3.94, N 15.65; found C 58.1, H 3.7, N 15.2 %.

Table 2. Crystal and refinement data.

Table 2. Crystal and refinement data.
(vii) $R = \text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3$, $R' = \text{H}$ (7H$_2$)

Zn$^{II}$ Complex (ZnL 1:1) of the Doubly Deprotonated Ligand:

Again, this was prepared from the preformed ligand by using KO$\text{Bu}$ as base. The orange product was recrystallised from CH$_2$CN by addition of diethyl ether. C$_{68}$H$_{160}$N$_{29}$O$_{32}$Zn$_2$ (2377.7): calcd. C 44.45, H 5.51, N 14.14; found C 44.3, H 5.03, N 14.2.

Table 3. Crystal and refinement data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>[Ni$_4$(acac)$_3$(NO$_3$)$_2$]:CH$_3$OH·0.5Et$_2$O·4H$_2$O</th>
<th>[Co$_4$(1)$_3$]8CH$_3$OH·16H$_2$O</th>
<th>[Ni$_4$(1)$_3$]·(=18H$_2$O)</th>
<th>[Zn$_4$(1)$_3$]·(=18H$_2$O)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>C$<em>{107}$H$</em>{90.5}$N$_{25.5}$Ni$<em>4$O$</em>{18}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{147}$H$</em>{108}$Co$<em>4$N$</em>{24}$O$_{32}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{124}$H$</em>{92}$N$_{24}$Ni$<em>4$O$</em>{26}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{128}$H$</em>{92}$N$<em>{24}$O$</em>{26}$Zn$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$g/mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2248.83</td>
<td>2069.6</td>
<td>1840.0</td>
<td>1873.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>$I4_1/a$</td>
<td>$C2/c$</td>
<td>$C2/c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ Å</td>
<td>16.1617(4)</td>
<td>19.8830(7)</td>
<td>20.524(4)</td>
<td>20.493(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ Å</td>
<td>18.0510(4)</td>
<td>19.8830(7)</td>
<td>20.524(4)</td>
<td>20.493(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ Å</td>
<td>21.3102(5)</td>
<td>25.2440(7)</td>
<td>20.493(4)</td>
<td>20.493(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ °</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ °</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ °</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$ Å$^3$</td>
<td>15670.6(3)</td>
<td>9997.8(6)</td>
<td>8120(3)</td>
<td>8226(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{calcd} / g cm^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1.356</td>
<td>1.505</td>
<td>1.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$ Å</td>
<td>0.71073 (Mo-K$_\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.71073 (Mo-K$_\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.71073 (Mo-K$_\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.71073 (Mo-K$_\alpha$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>1.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/(000)</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>4144</td>
<td>3837</td>
<td>3883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ / K</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{int}$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$wR_2$</td>
<td>0.2185</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$GOF$</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>1.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{min}$ /e Å$^{-3}$</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{max}$ /e Å$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC #</td>
<td>637556</td>
<td>637557</td>
<td>637558</td>
<td>637559</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Crystal and refinement data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>[Fe$_3$(4)$_3$]·4H$_2$O</th>
<th>[Co$_3$(4)$_3$]·4H$_2$O</th>
<th>[Ni$_3$(4)$_3$]·4H$_2$O</th>
<th>[Cu$_3$(4)$_3$]·2H$_2$O</th>
<th>[Zn$_3$(4)$_3$]·2H$_2$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>C$<em>{122}$H$</em>{110}$Fe$<em>3$N$</em>{24}$O$_{12}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{122}$H$</em>{110}$Co$<em>3$N$</em>{24}$O$_{12}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{122}$H$</em>{110}$Ni$<em>3$N$</em>{24}$O$_{12}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{122}$H$</em>{110}$Cu$<em>3$N$</em>{24}$O$_{12}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{122}$H$</em>{110}$Zn$<em>3$N$</em>{24}$O$_{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$g/mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2258.0</td>
<td>2270.4</td>
<td>2269.5</td>
<td>2252.8</td>
<td>2256.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>$I4_1/a$</td>
<td>$I4_1/a$</td>
<td>$I4_1/a$</td>
<td>$I2_1/c$</td>
<td>$C2/c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ Å</td>
<td>21.184(1)</td>
<td>21.215(3)</td>
<td>21.167(3)</td>
<td>21.234(3)</td>
<td>21.234(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ Å</td>
<td>25.391(3)</td>
<td>25.231(5)</td>
<td>25.163(5)</td>
<td>25.163(5)</td>
<td>25.163(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ °</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ °</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ °</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$ Å$^3$</td>
<td>11394.5(15)</td>
<td>11356.3(15)</td>
<td>11274(3)</td>
<td>11214(4)</td>
<td>11330.6(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{calcd} / g cm^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.312</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>1.337</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>1.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$ Å</td>
<td>0.31840 (Synchrotron)</td>
<td>0.71073 (Mo-K$_\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.71073 (Mo-K$_\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.71073 (Mo-K$_\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.71073 (Mo-K$_\alpha$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/(000)</td>
<td>4768</td>
<td>4816</td>
<td>4832</td>
<td>4768</td>
<td>4768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ / K</td>
<td>180(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{int}$</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>0.0825</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_2$</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$GOF$</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{min}$ /e Å$^{-3}$</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{max}$ /e Å$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC #</td>
<td>637560</td>
<td>637561</td>
<td>637562</td>
<td>637563</td>
<td>637564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
isopropyl ether into a CH$_3$NO$_2$ solution. A $[Zn_2(E,E-4H_2)_{2}(Z,Z-4H_2)_{2}(CH_3CN)_2(BF_4)_4][BF_4]_4$·7$CH_3CN·3H_2O$ crystal was selected from the mixture of products obtained as described above. A $[Cu_2(OH)_2](BF_4)_3·3CH_3CN$ single crystal was selected from the mass obtained on one occasion when the ligand and metal ion were subjected to reaction in the absence of any deliberately added base. $[Ni_4(4,5H_4)_{3}][NO_3]_3·5$CH$_3$OH·0.5(C$_6$H$_5$)$_2$O$ single crystals, as a mixture of needles and plates, were grown by vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into a methanol solution of the complex. All variously solvated complexes $[M_4(1)]_4$, $M = $ Co$^{II}$, Ni$^{II}$, Zn$^{II}$, were crystallised by slow evaporation of methanol solutions in air. All variously hydrated complexes $[M_4(3)]_4$, $M = $ Fe$^{II}$, Co$^{II}$, Ni$^{II}$, Cu$^{II}$, Zn$^{II}$, were crystallised by liquid-liquid diffusion of CH$_3$CN into CHCl$_3$ solutions.

(b) Structure Determination: The structures were determined under various conditions on various instruments in Jyväskylä, Strasbourg and Grenoble (European Synchrotron Radiation Facility). Basic information for each structure is given in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

CCDC-637552–637565 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
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